CANADA

PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC DISTRICT OF MONTRÉAL

SUPERIOR COURT

Commercial Division

(Sitting as a court designated pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C., c. 36, as amended)

N°: **500-11-048114-157**

IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF:

BLOOM LAKE GENERAL PARTNER LIMITED

QUINTO MINING CORPORATION

CLIFFS QUÉBEC IRON MINING ULC

WABUSH IRON CO. LIMITED WABUSH RESOURCES INC.

Petitioners

-and-

THE BLOOM LAKE IRON ORE MINE LIMITED

PARTNERSHIP

BLOOM LAKE RAILWAY COMPANY LIMITED

WABUSH MINES

ARNAUD RAILWAY COMPANY

WABUSH LAKE RAILWAY COMPANY LIMITED

Mises-en-cause

-and-

FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC.

Monitor

-and-

TWIN FALLS POWER CORPORATION

CHURCHILL FALLS (LABRADOR) CORPORATION

LIMITED

Mises-en-cause

AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS AND MISES-EN-CAUSES' OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS

(In response to the Motion by Twin Falls Power Corporation to dismiss the Application for Lack of Jurisdiction and for Forum Non Conveniens and to Churchill Falls (Labrador) Corporation Limited's Contestation)

1. Canada Business Corporations Act, R.S.C. (1985), c. C-44, sections 214 and 241.

- 2. Sam Lévy & Associés v. Azco Mining Inc., 2001 SCC 92 (CanLII) at paras 27, 36, 39-40, 73-74, 76-77.
- 3. Re: Essar Steel Algoma Inc., 2016 ONSC 595 at paras 27, 29, 30, 33.
- 4. Century Services Inc. v. Canada (Attorney General), 2010 SCC 60 at para 22.
- 5. Newfoundland and Labrador v. AbitibiBowater Inc., 2012 SCC 67 at para 21
- 6. Montréal, Maine & Atlantic Canada Co. (Re), 2013 QCCS 5194 (C.S. Que.) at paras 24, 25.
- 7. Bloom Lake General Partner Ltd. (Re), 2017 QCCS 284 at paras 29-33, 46, 70, 73.
- 8. Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, R.S.C., 1985, c. C-36, s. 11, 42.
- 9. Lightstream Resources Ltd (Re), 2016 ABQB 665 at para 52.
- 10. Stelco Inc. (Re), [2005] O.J. No. 1171 at paras 52-54.
- 11. Van Breda v. Village Resorts Ltd, 2012 SCC 17 at paras 79, 105, 108-110.
- 12. Microbiz Corp v. Classic Software Systems Inc., [1996] OJ no 5094 at para 1 (SCJ).
- 13. Joint Venture v. lannitello et Associés inc., 2018 QCCA 504 at para 23.
- 14. Cantore v Nemaska Lithium Inc., 2020 QCCA 1333 at paras. 9-10.
- 15. Les excavations Sanoduc Inc. v. Morency, 1991 CanLII 3680 (QC CA) at paras. 7-9.
- 16. Spar Aerospace Ltd. v. American Mobile Satellite, 2002 SCC 78 at para 77.
- 17. Bloom Lake General Partner Ltd., Re., 2018 QCCS 996 at para 35 and ff.
- 18. General Rules of the Québec Superior Court Commercial Division, section 209(a).

Montréal, May 20, 2021

BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LP

Attorneys for the Petitioners and the Mises en cause

assels & Saydon L.C.P.

8719750.2